



TITLE:

A SURVEY ON CHARACTERIZATION OF NUCLEAR C^* -ALGEBRAS (Recent Aspects of C^* -algebras)

AUTHOR(S):

Ozawa, Narutaka

CITATION:

Ozawa, Narutaka. A SURVEY ON CHARACTERIZATION OF NUCLEAR C^* -ALGEBRAS
(Recent Aspects of C^* -algebras). 数理解析研究所講究録 2002, 1291: 45-54

ISSUE DATE:

2002-10

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/42533>

RIGHT:

A SURVEY ON CHARACTERIZATION OF NUCLEAR C^* -ALGEBRAS

NARUTAKA OZAWA (小沢 登高)

1. NUCLEARITY AND INJECTIVITY

We assume the reader to have basic knowledge on tensor products of C^* -algebras. For this, the appendix T of [We] is readable. See also [Ta1].

A C^* -algebra A is said to be *nuclear* if one has $A \otimes_{\max} B = A \otimes_{\min} B$ for any B . Here, by $A \otimes_{\max} B = A \otimes_{\min} B$, we mean that the canonical quotient map Q from $A \otimes_{\max} B$ onto $A \otimes_{\min} B$ is $*$ -isomorphic. (I am not sure whether it can happen that $A \otimes_{\max} B$ and $A \otimes_{\min} B$ are $*$ -isomorphic without Q being injective.) If $\varphi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is a cp (completely positive) contraction, then the map $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_B: A_1 \otimes_{\text{alg}} B \rightarrow A_2 \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$ extends to a cp contractions $\varphi \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B: A_1 \otimes_{\min} B \rightarrow A_2 \otimes_{\min} B$ and $\varphi \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B: A_1 \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow A_2 \otimes_{\max} B$. This fact follows from the Stinespring representation theorem. We usually omit the subscript of $\varphi \otimes \text{id}_B$. The second dual A^{**} of a C^* -algebra A is a von Neumann algebra. A von Neumann algebra $M \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *injective* if there is a cp projection φ from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto M . This property does not depends on a choice of faithful normal representations of M . A cp projection is often called a *conditional expectation* because of the following fact. Let A be a C^* -subalgebra of B and φ be a cp contraction from B into $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\varphi|_A$ is multiplicative, then φ is automatically an A -bimodule map, i.e., $\varphi(axb) = \varphi(a)\varphi(x)\varphi(b)$ for $a, b \in A$ and $x \in B$. This follows from the Stinespring representation theorem; $\varphi(xy) - \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = [V^*\pi(x)(1 - VV^*)^{1/2}][(1 - VV^*)^{1/2}\pi(y)V] = XY$ and $XX^* = \varphi(xx^*) - \varphi(x)\varphi(x)^*$, etc. See [Ch] for the detail. A C^* -algebra A is said to have the CPAP (completely positive approximation property) if there is a net of finite rank cp contractions θ_i on A which converges to id_A pointwisely, i.e., $\lim_i \|a - \theta_i(a)\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$. We often require that θ_i factors through a full matrix algebra, i.e., there are $n = n(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ and cp contractions $\sigma_i: A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ and $\rho_i: \mathbb{M}_n \rightarrow A$ such that $\rho_i \sigma_i = \theta_i$. These two CPAP's are equivalent as we will see in Theorem 1. The corresponding notion for von Neumann algebras is semidiscreteness. A von Neumann algebra M is said to be *semidiscrete* if there is a net of normal finite rank ucp (unital completely positive) maps θ_i on A which converges to id_M in the point- σ -weak topology, i.e., $\sigma\text{-weak-}\lim_i \theta_i(a) = a$ for all $a \in M$. We often require that θ_i factors through a full matrix algebra. Since these properties which we will deal with are all stable under unitization, we will assume that all C^* -algebras are unital from now on.

The following theorem is fundamental in the study of nuclear C^* -algebras. The part (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is due to [EL] and the converse (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is due to [CE1]. The part (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) is due to [CE2] and [Kil]. We will only prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (i). Direct proofs of (i) \Rightarrow (iii) are found in [CE2] and [Kil], the latter of which is almost same as the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) in Theorem 2. The implication (iv) \Rightarrow (ii) is due to [Co2] and [BP]. The converse implication (i) \Rightarrow (iv) is a deep result of Haagerup [Ha1] which uses Connes' celebrated theorem [Co1] (Theorem 2 below). We will prove later a poor man's version of this implication.

Theorem 1. *For a C^* -algebra A , the following are equivalent.*

- (i). *The C^* -algebra A is nuclear.*
- (ii). *The second dual A^{**} is injective.*
- (iii). *The C^* -algebra A has the CPAP.*
- (iv). *The Banach algebra A is amenable.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We follow [La] for the proof. Let $A^{**} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful normal representation. Since A is nuclear, the representation

$$\pi: A \otimes_{\min} A' \ni \sum_k a_k \otimes x_k \longmapsto \sum_k a_k x_k \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

is continuous. Let $\Phi: A \otimes_{\min} \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a ucp extension of π and let $\varphi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto \Phi(1 \otimes x) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Since Φ is an $(A \otimes_{\min} A')$ -bimodule map, φ is a conditional expectation from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto A' . This shows A' , and a fortiori $A'' = A^{**}$, is injective.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). It follows from Theorem 2 below that injectivity is equivalent to semidiscreteness. We will prove that A has the CPAP provided that A^{**} is semidiscrete.

By semidiscreteness, the identity map $\text{id}_{A^{**}}$ on A^{**} is approximated, in the point-weak* topology, by finite rank ucp maps which factor through full matrix algebras. Since a ucp map from a full matrix algebra \mathbb{M}_n into A^{**} is approximated, in the point-weak* topology, by a ucp map from \mathbb{M}_n into A (observe that a map φ from \mathbb{M}_n into a C^* -algebra B is cp if and only if $[\varphi(e_{ij})]_{i,j} \in \mathbb{M}_n(B)$ is positive), the identity map id_A on A is approximated, in the point-weak topology, by finite rank ucp maps which factor through full matrix algebras. Since the point-weak closure of a convex set of bounded linear maps on a Banach space coincides with the point-norm closure, this completes the proof.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $\{\varphi_i\}_i$ be a net of finite rank ucp maps on A which converges to id_A pointwisely. Let B be a C^* -algebra, $Q: A \otimes_{\max} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B$ be the canonical quotient and take $x \in \ker Q$. We have that $(\varphi_i \otimes_{\min} \text{id}_B)Q = Q(\varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B)$ since both maps are continuous and coincide on $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$. This implies that $Q(\varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B)(x) = 0$. Since φ_i is of finite rank, we have $(\varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B)(x) \in A \otimes_{\text{alg}} B$. It follows that $(\varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B)(x) = 0$. Since the ucp maps $\varphi_i \otimes_{\max} \text{id}_B$ converges to $\text{id}_{A \otimes_{\max} B}$, we have $x = 0$. This shows $A \otimes_{\max} B = A \otimes_{\min} B$. \square

A SURVEY

The following is a celebrated theorem of Connes [Co1]. The part (iii) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is due to [EL]. We will only prove the equivalence among (i), (ii) and (iii). See [Ha2] and [Po] for simple proofs of (i) \Rightarrow (iv).

Theorem 2. *For a von Neumann algebra $M \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the following are equivalent.*

- (i). *The von Neumann algebra M is injective.*
- (ii). *The representation $\pi: M \otimes_{\min} M' \ni \sum a_k \otimes b_k \mapsto \sum a_k b_k \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is continuous.*
- (iii). *The von Neumann algebra M is semidiscrete.*
- (iv). *The von Neumann algebra M is AFD.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We follow [Wa1] for the proof. We first assume that M is finite with a normal faithful tracial state τ and let ψ be a conditional expectation from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto M . To prove continuity of π , we may assume that $M \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a standard representation. Then, continuity of π follows by applying Theorem 3 below to the hypertrace $\tau\psi$ for M . Now, it is not hard to show continuity of π for a semifinite injective von Neumann algebra. The general case then follows from the Takesaki duality theorem [Ta2]. See [Wa1] for the detail.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). We follow [Kil] for the proof. Let Ω be the convex set of all (not necessarily contractive) cp maps θ on M of the form $\theta = \rho\sigma$ where σ is a ucp map from A into a full matrix algebra \mathbb{M}_n and ρ is a (not necessarily contractive) cp map from the full matrix algebra \mathbb{M}_n into M . It suffices to show that the identity map id_M is in the point- σ -weak closure of Ω since the point- σ -weak closure of a convex set coincides with the point- σ -strong closure (hence we can perturb them to unital ones). To prove it, we give ourselves normal states f_1, \dots, f_n on M , $a_1, \dots, a_n \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We have to find $\theta \in \Omega$ with $|f_k(a_k) - f_k(\theta(a_k))| < \varepsilon$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. Let $f = n^{-1} \sum_k f_k$ and $(\pi_f, \mathcal{H}_f, \xi_f)$ be the GNS triplet. It follows that there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \pi_f(M)'$ such that $f_k(a) = (\pi_f(a)x_k\xi_f, \xi_f)$ for $a \in M$. Let ω be a state on $M \otimes_{\min} \pi_f(M)'$ given by $\omega(a \otimes x) = (\pi_f(a)x\xi_f, \xi_f)$. This ω is well-defined by the assumption (ii). We approximate ω by a vector state from $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}_f$; $\exists \eta_1, \dots, \eta_l \in \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \pi_f(M)\xi_f$ with $|\omega(a_k \otimes x_k) - \sum_{j=1}^l ((a_k \otimes x_k)\eta_j, \eta_j)| < \varepsilon$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. For each j , fix a representation $\eta_j = \sum_{p=1}^{m_j} \zeta_{j,p} \otimes \pi_f(b_{j,p})\xi_f$ with $\{\zeta_{j,p}\}_{p=1}^{m_j}$ orthonormal. It follows that the map $\sigma_j: M \rightarrow M_{m_j}$ defined by $\sigma_j(a) = [(a\zeta_{j,q} | \zeta_{j,p})]_{p,q}$ is ucp and the map $\rho_j: M_{m_j} \rightarrow M$ defined by $\rho_j([\alpha_{pq}]_{p,q}) = \sum_{p,q} \alpha_{pq} b_{j,p}^* b_{j,q}$ is cp. Moreover, we see that $\theta = \sum_{j=1}^l \rho_j \sigma_j$ in Ω satisfies $(\pi_f(\theta(a))x\xi_f, \xi_f) = \sum_{j=1}^l ((a \otimes x)\eta_j, \eta_j)$ for any $a \in A$ and $x \in M'$. Therefore, we have

$$|f_k(a_k) - f_k(\theta(a_k))| = |\omega(a_k \otimes x_k) - \sum_{j=1}^k ((a_k \otimes x_k)\eta_j, \eta_j)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ and we are done.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii). See the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) in Theorem 1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). See the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in Theorem 1. □

Let A be a C^* -subalgebra in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. A state φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *hypertrace* for A if it satisfies $\varphi(ax) = \varphi(xa)$ for any $a \in A$ and any $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The following theorem of Kirchberg [Ki3] generalizes Connes' result [Co1] on II_1 -factors.

Theorem 3. *For a tracial state τ on a C^* -subalgebra A in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, TFAE.*

- (i). *The trace τ extends to a hypertrace φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*
- (ii). *There is a net of ucp maps $\theta_i: A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n(i)}$ such that $\tau(a) = \lim_i \text{tr}_{n(i)}(\theta_i(a))$ and $\lim_i \text{tr}_{n(i)}(\theta_i(ab^*) - \theta_i(a)\theta_i(b^*)) = 0$ for any a, b in A .*
- (iii). *The functional $\sigma: A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A} \ni \sum_k a_k \otimes \bar{b}_k \mapsto \tau(\sum_k a_k b_k^*) \in \mathbb{C}$ is continuous.*
- (iv). *The representation $\pi: A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A} \ni \sum_k a_k \otimes \bar{b}_k \mapsto \sum_k \pi_\tau(a_k) \pi_\tau^c(\bar{b}_k) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ is continuous, where $(\pi_\tau, \mathcal{H}_\tau, \xi_\tau)$ is the GNS-triplet for τ and the representation $\pi_\tau^c: \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is given by $\pi_\tau^c(\bar{b})\pi_\tau(a)\xi_\tau = \pi_\tau(ab^*)\xi_\tau$ for $a \in A$ and $\bar{b} \in \bar{A}$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The following proof is taken from [Ha2]. To prove (ii), we give ourselves a finite set E of unitaries in A and $\varepsilon > 0$. We approximate φ by $\text{Tr}(h \cdot)$, where h is a positive trace class operator on \mathcal{H} with $\text{Tr}(h) = 1$. By a standard approximation argument, we may assume that we have $|\text{Tr}(hu) - \tau(u)| < \varepsilon$ and $\|h - uhu^*\|_{1, \text{Tr}} < \varepsilon$ for $u \in E$, and that h is of finite rank and has no irrational eigenvalues; let $p_1/q, \dots, p_m/q$ ($p_1, \dots, p_m, q \in \mathbb{N}$) be the non-zero eigenvalues of h with the corresponding eigenvectors $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m \in \mathcal{H}$.

We denote by \mathbb{C}^d the d -dimensional Hilbert space with a distinguished basis $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^d$. Put $p = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ and define isometries $V_k: \mathbb{C}^{p_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$ by $V_k \delta_i = \zeta_k \otimes \delta_i$. Finally let $V: \bigoplus_{k=1}^m \mathbb{C}^{p_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^p$ be the concatenation of V_k 's. Identifying \mathbb{M}_q with $\mathbb{B}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^m \mathbb{C}^{p_k})$, we define a ucp map $\theta: A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_q$ by $\theta(a) = V^*(a \otimes 1)V$. It follows that we have $\text{tr}_q(\theta(a)) = \text{Tr}(ha)$ for any $a \in A$, and denoting $u_{k,l} = (u\zeta_l \mid \zeta_k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_q(\theta(uu^*) - \theta(u)\theta(u^*)) &= \sum_{k,l} |u_{k,l}|^2 (p_k - \min\{p_k, p_l\})/q \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k,l} |u_{k,l}|^2 (p_k^{1/2} + p_l^{1/2})^2 / q \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k,l} |u_{k,l}|^2 (p_k^{1/2} - p_l^{1/2})^2 / q \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|h^{1/2}u + uh^{1/2}\|_{2, \text{Tr}} \|h^{1/2}u - uh^{1/2}\|_{2, \text{Tr}} \\ &\leq 2\|hu - uh\|_{1, \text{Tr}} < 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in E$, where we have used the Powers-Størmer inequality [PS] in the last line.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). The net of states $\sigma_i: A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A} \ni \sum_k a_k \otimes \bar{b}_k \mapsto \text{tr}_{n(i)}(\sum_k \theta_i(a_k) \theta_i(b_k^*)) \in \mathbb{C}$ is well-defined and converges to the functional σ .

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). This immediately follows from the fact that ξ_τ is cyclic for $\pi(A \otimes_{\text{alg}} \bar{A})$ and the corresponding vector state (which is σ) is continuous on $A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A}$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let $\Psi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes_{\min} \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ be a ucp extension of π and let $\psi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \ni x \mapsto \Psi(x \otimes 1) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$. Since Ψ is an $(A \otimes_{\min} \bar{A})$ -bimodule map, we have that $\psi|_A = \pi_\tau$ and $\psi(\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})) \subset \pi_\tau(A)''$. It follows that the desired hypertrace extension φ of τ is given by $\varphi(x) = (\psi(x)\xi_\tau \mid \xi_\tau)$. \square

A SURVEY

2. A POOR MAN'S 'NUCLEARITY \Leftrightarrow AMENABILITY'

We prove a version of 'nuclearity \Leftrightarrow amenability'. (Sadly, it is still complicated.) Let A be a C^* -algebra. The Haagerup norm for $T \in A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$ is defined as

$$\|T\|_h = \inf \left\{ \left\| \sum a_k a_k^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum b_k^* b_k \right\|^{1/2} : T = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \otimes b_k \right\}$$

Regarding $\sum a_k b_k$ as a product of $[a_1, \dots, a_n] \in \mathbb{M}_{1,n}(A)$ and $[b_1, \dots, b_n]^T \in \mathbb{M}_{n,1}(A)$, we see that the product map $p: A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A \ni \sum_k a_k \otimes b_k \mapsto \sum_k a_k b_k \in A$ is contractive w.r.t. the Haagerup norm. If $A \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $T = \sum_k a_k \otimes b_k \in A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$, then we put $\Phi_T: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by $\Phi_T(x) = \sum_k a_k x b_k$. Again, it can be seen that $\|\Phi_T\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \|T\|_h$. We say a unital C^* -algebra A is *amenable w.r.t. the Haagerup tensor product* if there is a net $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$ satisfying that

- (i). $\sup_i \|T_i\|_h < \infty$,
- (ii). $p(T_i) = 1$ for all $i \in I$,
- (iii). $\lim_i \|x \cdot T_i - T_i \cdot x\|_h = 0$ for all $x \in A$.

It is clear that amenability w.r.t. the Haagerup tensor product is formally weaker than the usual amenability. There are natural equivalent definitions of this concept via virtual diagonal and via cohomology. Consult [Ru] for this matter.

Theorem 4. *A C^* -algebra is nuclear if and only if it is amenable w.r.t. the Haagerup tensor product.*

Passing from this theorem to Theorem 1 seems require a serious tool such as non-commutative Grothendieck inequality. Consult [Ef] and [Ru].

We first show the 'if' part. The following proof is taken from [BP]. Take a faithful normal representation $A^{**} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\{T_i\}_{i \in I}$ in $A \otimes_{\text{alg}} A$ be as above. Then, the point-weak* cluster point of the net $\{\Phi_{T_i}\}$ is a quasi-expectation from $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ onto A' . It follows that A' , and a fortiori $A'' = A^{**}$, is injective. See [BP] [Ru] for the proof.

For the proof of the 'only if' part, we need the following ingredients; a theorem of Kirchberg [Ki2] saying that a separable nuclear C^* -algebra is a subquotient of the CAR-algebra (see [Wa2] for a simple proof), and Kasparov's Stinespring theorem [Ka]. The following result, inspired from [KS], is sufficient for the 'only if' part.

Lemma 5. *Let A be a unital nuclear C^* -algebra, F be a finite set of unitaries in A , which are in the connected component of the identity and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite subset G in $\mathbb{M}_{1,n}(A)$ such that $xx^* = 1$ for $x \in G$ and for any $u \in F$, there is a bijection f of G onto G with $\|ux - f(x)\| < \varepsilon$ for $x \in G$.*

Here, for $x = [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{M}_{1,n}(A)$ and $u \in A$, we define $xx^* = \sum x_k x_k^*$ and $ux = [ux_1, \dots, ux_n] \in \mathbb{M}_{1,n}(A)$.

Proof. We may assume that A is separable. By Kirchberg's theorem [Ki2], there is a ucp map φ from the CAR-algebra B onto A , whose restriction $\varphi|_{\tilde{A}}$ to some unital C^* -subalgebra \tilde{A} in B becomes a surjective $*$ -homomorphism onto A .

We give ourselves a finite set F of unitaries in A , which are in the connected component of the identity, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Lifting each element in F , we find a finite set \tilde{F} of unitaries in \tilde{A} . Since B is AF, there is a finite set \tilde{G} of unitaries in B such that for any $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{F}$, there is a bijection \tilde{f} of \tilde{G} onto \tilde{G} with $\|\tilde{u}w - \tilde{f}(w)\| < \varepsilon/2$ for $w \in \tilde{G}$. By Kasparov's Stinespring theorem [Ka], there is a unital representation π of B on the Hilbert A -module $\mathcal{H}_A = \ell_2 \otimes A$ such that $\varphi(b) = \langle \zeta, \pi(b)\zeta \rangle$ for $b \in B$, where $\zeta = (1, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathcal{H}_A$. We observe that $\pi(\tilde{u}^*)\zeta = (u^*, 0, 0, \dots) = \zeta u^*$ for $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{F}$ since $\varphi(\tilde{u}^*) = u^*$ is a unitary and $\|\pi(\tilde{u}^*)\| = 1$. For each $w \in \tilde{G}$, we define $w_1, w_2, \dots \in A$ by $\pi(w^*)\zeta = (w_1^*, w_2^*, \dots) \in \mathcal{H}_A$. It follows that $\pi((\tilde{u}w)^*)\zeta = ((uw_1)^*, (uw_2)^*, \dots)$. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be large enough so that $\|\sum_{k \geq n} w_k w_k^*\| < \varepsilon/8$ for all $w \in \tilde{G}$ and put $\hat{w} = [w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, (\sum_{k \geq n} w_k w_k^*)^{1/2}] \in \mathbb{M}_{1,n}(A)$. The set $G = \{\hat{w} : w \in \tilde{G}\} \subset \mathbb{M}_{1,n}(A)$ and the bijection f on G induced from \tilde{f} are what we desired. \square

3. A NON-OPERATOR ALGEBRAIST'S NON-AMENABILITY OF $\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$

We present here a proof of the fact that $\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$ (or any von Neumann algebra which is not subhomogeneous) is not amenable. This proof was suggested by G. Pisier.

Theorem 6. *The Banach algebra $\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$ is not amenable.*

Actually we will show that there is no net $\{T_i\}_i$ in $\mathbb{B}(\ell_2) \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$ satisfying the condition (ii) and (iii) in Section 2. In stead of operator algebra theory, we need the following ingredients; Kazhdan's property (T) for, say, $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and operator inequalities. A discrete group Γ is said to have *Kazhdan's property (T)* if for any finite subset E of generators in Γ , there are a constant $\kappa > 0$ and a decreasing function $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\varepsilon) = 0$ such that the following is true: if π is a unitary representation on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector with $\varepsilon = \max_{s \in E} \|\pi(s)\xi - \xi\| < \kappa$, then there is a unit vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|\xi - \eta\| < f(\varepsilon)$ such that $\pi(s)\eta = \eta$ for all $s \in \Gamma$. It is well-known that the group $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ has Kazhdan's property (T). We refer the reader to [HV] for the information of Kazhdan's property (T). For any trace class operators h and k on a Hilbert space, the Powers-Størmer inequality [PS] says that $\|h^{1/2} - k^{1/2}\|_2^2 \leq \|h - k\|_1$ and Kosaki's inequality [Ko] says that $\| |h| - |k| \|_1 \leq (2\|h + k\|_1 \|h - k\|_1)^{1/2}$. The proof of these inequalities for matrices (which we will need) are rather elementary (cf. [Mc]).

Lemma 7. *Let E be a finite set of generators of Γ . Then, there are a constant $\delta > 0$ and a decreasing function $c: (0, \delta) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c(\varepsilon) = 0$ which satisfy the following property. If $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n$ is an irreducible representation and*

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathbb{M}_{n,\infty} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{\infty,n}$$

is such that $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = I_n$ and $\varepsilon = \max_{s \in E} \|\pi(s) \cdot T - T \cdot \pi(s)\|_h < \delta$, then we have $r \geq (1 - c(\varepsilon))n$.

A SURVEY

Proof. Let $\{\delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of ℓ_2 and $\{e_k\}$ be the corresponding orthogonal projections. Let $T(k) = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i e_k b_i \in \mathbb{M}_n$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = I_n$, we have that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|T(k)\|_{1, \text{Tr}} \geq n$. On the other hand, we claim that

$$\forall s \in E \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|\pi(s)T(k)\pi(s)^* - T(k)\|_{1, \text{Tr}} < \varepsilon n.$$

Indeed, this follows from a standard homogeneity trick and the following inequality; for $x \in \mathbb{M}_{n, \infty}$ and $y \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty, n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|x e_k y\|_{1, \text{Tr}} &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|x \delta_k\|_{\ell_2} \|y^* \delta_k\|_{\ell_2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\|x \delta_k\|_{\ell_2}^2 + \|y^* \delta_k\|_{\ell_2}^2) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_n(x x^* + y^* y). \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities implies the existence of $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall s \in E \quad \|\pi(s)T(k_0)\pi(s)^* - T(k_0)\|_{1, \text{Tr}} < |E| \varepsilon \|T(k_0)\|_{1, \text{Tr}}.$$

We put $h = T(k_0)/\|T(k_0)\|_{1, \text{Tr}}$. It follows from Kosaki's inequality that

$$\|\pi(s)|h|\pi(s)^* - |h|\|_{1, \text{Tr}} \leq (2\|\pi(s)h\pi(s)^* + h\|_{1, \text{Tr}}\|\pi(s)h\pi(s)^* - h\|_{1, \text{Tr}})^{1/2} < (4|E|\varepsilon)^{1/2}.$$

Combined with Powers-Størmer inequality, this implies

$$\forall s \in E \quad \|\pi(s)|h|^{1/2}\pi(s)^* - |h|^{1/2}\|_{2, \text{Tr}} < (4|E|\varepsilon)^{1/4}.$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, then it follows Schur's lemma that for $c(\varepsilon) = f((4|E|\varepsilon)^{1/4})$ (here f is as in the above definition of Kazhdan's property (T)), we have

$$\| |h|^{1/2} - n^{-1/2} I_n \|_{2, \text{Tr}}^2 < c(\varepsilon)$$

since fixed vectors for the representation $\text{Ad } \pi$ of Γ on the Hilbert-Schmidt class S_2 is a multiple of identity. Since $\text{rank } |h|^{1/2} = \text{rank } h \leq r$, we have $r \geq (1 - c(\varepsilon))n$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $\pi_k: SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{n(k)}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional irreducible representations such that $n(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We identify ℓ_2 with $\bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \ell_2^{n(k)}$ and denote by P_k the orthogonal projection from ℓ_2 onto $\ell_2^{n(k)}$. Let $\pi(s) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^\infty \pi_n(s) \in \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$ for $s \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $\delta > 0$ be as in Lemma 7. To show $\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$ is not amenable by reductio ad absurdum, suppose that there is $T = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathbb{B}(\ell_2) \otimes \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r a_i b_i = I_{\ell_2}$ and $\varepsilon = \max_{s \in E} \|\pi(s) \cdot T - T \cdot \pi(s)\|_h < \delta$. Applying Lemma 7 to $P_k \cdot T \cdot P_k \in \mathbb{M}_{n(k), \infty} \otimes \mathbb{M}_{\infty, n(k)}$, we obtain $r > (1 - c(\varepsilon))n(k)$ for all k . This is absurd. \square

4. EXACTNESS

A C^* -algebra A is said to be *exact* if

$$0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} J \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{\min} B/J \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any C^* -algebra B and any closed 2-sided ideal J in B . As we will see in the proof of Theorem 8, it suffices to check exactness of the above sequence only for either $J = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n \triangleleft B = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_n$ or $J = \mathbb{K}(\ell_2) \triangleleft B = \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$. Kirchberg [Ki2] showed that exactness is characterized by the following property, known as *nuclear embeddability*.

Theorem 8. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an exact C^* -algebra and let $(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of projections on \mathcal{H} , which converges strongly to the identity on \mathcal{H} . We denote by $\varphi_n: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H})$ the compression. Then, there is a net of ucp maps $\theta_i: \mathbb{B}(P_{n(i)}\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\lim_i \|\theta_i \varphi_{n(i)}(a) - a\| = 0$ for all $a \in A$.*

Proof. The following proof is taken from [Pi]. Given a finite dimensional subspace $E \subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we will find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a ucp map $\theta: \mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|\theta \varphi_n|_E - \text{id}_E\|_{\text{cb}} < \varepsilon$. It is easy to see that $\varphi_n|_E$ is one-to-one for n large enough. We claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varphi_n|_E)^{-1}: \varphi_n(E) \rightarrow E\|_{\text{cb}} = 1$. Since φ_n factors through φ_m when $m > n$, the sequence $\|(\varphi_n|_E)^{-1}\|_{\text{cb}}$ is monotonically decreasing and the limit $c \geq 1$ exists. For each n , we take $x_n \in E \otimes \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}$ with $\|x_n\| = 1$ and $\|(\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{k(n)})(x_n)\| \leq c^{-1} + n^{-1}$, and let $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \otimes \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}) = E \otimes M \subset A \otimes M$, where $M = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}$ is the ℓ_{∞} -direct product. (That $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (E \otimes \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}) = E \otimes M$ is because E is finite dimensional.) Let $J = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}$ be the c_0 -direct product, which is an ideal in M . Since $\|x_n\| = 1$ for all n , we have $\|x + A \otimes J\|_{A \otimes M / A \otimes J} = 1$. On the other hand, if M/J is faithfully represented on \mathcal{K} , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\text{id}_A \otimes Q)(x)\|_{A \otimes M / J} &= \|(\text{id}_A \otimes Q)(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{M/J})(\text{id}_A \otimes Q)(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\text{id}_{\mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H})} \otimes Q)((\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_M)(x))\|_{\mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_{k(m)}})(x_m)\|_{\mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{M}_{k(m)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(\varphi_m \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{M}_{k(m)}})(x_m)\|_{\mathbb{B}(P_m\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{M}_{k(m)}} \\ &\leq c^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the assumption on exactness, we have $1 \leq c^{-1}$ and obtain the claim.

It follows that $\|(\varphi_n|_E)^{-1}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large n . Using the injectivity of $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we extend $(\varphi_n|_E)^{-1}$ to a self-adjoint map $\psi: \mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the same cb-norm. Since ψ is unital self-adjoint, we find a ucp map $\theta: \mathbb{B}(P_n\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|\theta - \psi\|_{\text{cb}} < \varepsilon$ by Lemma 9 below. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 9 (Kirchberg). *If $\psi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital self-adjoint map, then there is a ucp map $\theta: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|\theta - \psi\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \|\psi\|_{\text{cb}} - 1$.*

A SURVEY

Proof. Use Wittstock decomposition theorem or Stinespring theorem for cb maps, or consult Wassermann's monograph [Wa2]. \square

The converse of the above theorem due to Wassermann states that

Theorem 10. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a separable C^* -algebra such that there is a sequence of finite rank maps $\varphi_n: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\lim_n \|(\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)})(x) - x\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)} = 0$$

for any $x \in A \otimes \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$, (e.g. a sequence of finite rank ucp maps $\varphi_n: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\forall a \in A \lim_n \|\varphi_n(a) - a\| = 0$) then A is exact.

Proof. We give ourselves a C^* -algebra B , an ideal $J \triangleleft B$ and $x \in \ker(\text{id}_A \otimes Q)$. We may assume that $B \subset \mathbb{B}(\ell_2)$. It follows that

$$(\text{id}_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})} \otimes Q)(\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)})(x) = (\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)})(\text{id}_A \otimes Q)(x) = 0.$$

Since φ_n is of finite rank, we have $(\varphi_n \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{B}(\ell_2)})(x) \in A \otimes J$. Taking limit, we have $x \in A \otimes J$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [BP] J. W. Bunce and W. L. Paschke, *Quasi-expectations and amenable von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1978), no. 2, 232–236.
- [Ch] M.-D. Choi, *A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps on C^* -algebras*, Illinois J. Math. **18** (1974), 565–574.
- [CE1] M.-D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Nuclear C^* -algebras and injectivity: the general case*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **26** (1977), no. 3, 443–446.
- [CE2] ———, *Nuclear C^* -algebras and the approximation property*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), no. 1, 61–79.
- [Co1] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 1, 73–115.
- [Co2] ———, *On the cohomology of operator algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **28** (1978), no. 2, 248–253.
- [Ef] E. G. Effros, *Amenability and virtual diagonals for von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **78** (1988), no. 1, 137–153.
- [EL] E. G. Effros and C. Lance, *Tensor products of operator algebras*, Adv. Math. **25** (1977), no. 1, 1–34.
- [Ha1] U. Haagerup, *All nuclear C^* -algebras are amenable*, Invent. Math. **74** (1983), no. 2, 305–319.
- [Ha2] ———, *A new proof of the equivalence of injectivity and hyperfiniteness for factors on a separable Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal. **62** (1985), no. 2, 160–201.
- [HV] P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, *La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts*, With an appendix by M. Burger. Astérisque **175** (1989), 158 pp.
- [Ka] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^* -modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), no. 1, 133–150.
- [Ki1] E. Kirchberg, *C^* -nuclearity implies CPAP*, Math. Nachr. **76** (1977), 203–212.
- [Ki2] ———, *On subalgebras of the CAR-algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **129** (1995), 35–63.
- [Ki3] ———, *On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^* -algebras*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 449–489.

NARUTAKA OZAWA (小沢 登高)

- [KS] A. Kishimoto and S. Sakai, *Homogeneity of the pure state space for the separable nuclear C^* -algebras*, Unpublished.
- [Ko] H. Kosaki, *On the continuity of the map $\varphi \rightarrow |\varphi|$ from the predual of a W^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), no. 1, 123–131.
- [La] C. Lance, *On nuclear C^* -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **12** (1973), 157–176.
- [Mc] C. A. McCarthy, c_p , Israel J. Math. **5** 1967 249–271.
- [Pi] G. Pisier, *Exact operator spaces*, in “Recent advances in operator algebras - Orléans 1992”, Astérisque Soc. Math. France **232** (1995), 159–186.
- [Po] S. Popa, *A short proof of “injectivity implies hyperfiniteness” for finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Operator Theory **16** (1986), no. 2, 261–272.
- [PS] R. Powers and E. Størmer, *Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **16** 1970 1–33.
- [Ru] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1774**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [Ta1] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras*. I, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.
- [Ta2] ———, *Duality for crossed products and the structure of von Neumann algebras of type III*, Acta Math. **131** (1973), 249–310.
- [Wa1] S. Wassermann, *Injective W^* -algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **82** (1977), no. 1, 39–47.
- [Wa2] ———, *Exact C^* -algebras and related topics*, Lecture Notes Series, **19**. Seoul National University, Research Institute of Mathematics, Global Analysis Research Center, Seoul, 1994.
- [We] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -algebras*, A friendly approach. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: narutaka@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp